

**McDermott  
Will & Emery**

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf London Los Angeles Miami Milan  
Munich New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington, D.C.

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
FEB 15 2005**

**FACSIMILE****Date:** February 15, 2005**Time Sent:**

<b>To:</b>	<b>Company:</b>	<b>Facsimile No:</b>	<b>Telephone No:</b>
Mark Budd	USPTO	1-703-872-9306	
<b>From:</b>	Mark G. Lappin	<i>Direct Phone:</i>	617.535.4043
<i>E-Mail:</i>	mlappin@mwe.com		
<i>Sent By:</i>	Jin Zhang	<i>Direct Phone:</i>	617.535.3968
<i>Client/Matter/Tkpr:</i>	56280-051-5471	<i>Original to Follow by Mail:</i>	No
		<i>Number of Pages, Including Cover:</i>	9

**Message:**

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copy of this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the below address by mail. Thank you.

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.**

Main Facsimile: 617.535.3800 Facsimile Operator: 617.535.4000

**U.S. practice conducted through McDermott Will & Emery**

28 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109-1775 Telephone: 617.535.4000

BST99 1446318-1.009900.0011

PAGE 1/9 \* RCVD AT 2/15/2005 4:41:50 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFAX-1/6 \* DNS:8729306 \* CSID:617 535 3800 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-58



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/ 814 672

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr Lappig (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Mr Budd (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 2-9-05

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner agreed that the proposed claims (attached) would overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection. The examiner will consider the claims on their merits vs. any prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)